9.7.11-90

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1896-97

~~191~~

File No. 3 of 189

Serial Nos. 1—2,

Later references. (police)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—21

M ~~Part~~ B for Oct, 1899.

SUBJECT.

Entertainment of an additional police force for the town of Channapatna.

Countermarked

Indexed

Dy.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

St Mochtas

7th October

police 30 of 1896

My dear friend

I herewith send y
your memorial and ob
return a fair copy
to the Commissioner
I shall feel obliged
You can trust Kindly Rem
a line as to whether
your opinion. The a
force at present
The R.I.C is requisi
Plague Hospitals
[illegible]

My dear friend

I feel some difficulty
giving an opinion in
the matter - I would advise
the Matter being referred
me & you in which my
opinion is the best
authority for judging
both whether a punitive
police should be imposed
& additional police should be
appointed for Plague or
other reasons

Yours sincerely
[illegible]

11—10—98

My dear Sir,

Herewith I send the
Mexicana Nacional for
my D.D. in re addr
Dulce Jane for the town
of Chemahatca - The
Deacon thinks that the
situation at Chemaha
- Native workers in con
- mainly Deacon's clas
more to warrant
that the addl Nati

Rajadhanee Sprasnia
T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty
Esq. C. T. S.

Sent unsigned
qualifying
as Ver. corrected

Correct.
Sub Sec.
Chamarajendra

12-10-98

Vide Act for
of 16 Act 1872
Act XXII Bom 1879 Sch. I
also from 157 Gaz. of Ind. I
for the year ending 1890/91
p 37 -

4-10-98 For previous notes see the Govt file.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Govt Bangalore - It was originally (in) 1
entrusted to the Bangalore

but since the introduction
of the Rules of 1872, for
the regulation of the
tion of Act V (b) was
of I omitted
to the town of Bangalore.
If so the Govt Mysore has
no authority to apply
under s 15 of the said
Act. It is the D'Mogistr
that can take action
under s 33 of the Mysore
Rules. I also agree with
the Secy that the Mysore
Diary report is very
meagre and that more
specific information from
which it may be inferred
that the town of Chamarajpet
is now in such a disturbed
or dangerous state as
to justify Govt sanction of
the application of the
provisions of Rule 33 - A
Cantonment town can be sanctioned
on very special grounds
to meet an emergency of
an extraordinary character
3 The present cases
and unrest, on account

of Clerks, as limited
. d is not comfo
to Clerks' duties alone,
and I doubt the
. expediency of applyg
the provisions of Rule 37
as an accurate title
thus

a The add[l] Fun attbd
ys seems necessary
in connection with the
Clerks operations, but
the application has not
been transmitted thro
the Clerks (and who may
(have time) be
consulted deny. Hereby;
and if the recommendation
for the entertainment of the
add[l] Fun meets with his
approval it may be
sanctioned at once. [illegible]

ATT
6/10/98

If the Master (and
considers the add[l] Fun
as not wanted in connection
with Master Operations then the add[l] Fun
must be sanctioned on the ground that
the present strength is insufft and the
measure is necessary as a permanent add[n]
to the Establishment for reasons stated in para 2 of the Under Sec's letter —
In this case the sanction of Council will be needed — The Secy may
obtain the Secretary's permission to bring the matter before next
meeting —

ATT 6/10/98

q s 15 act s/bs of 74
in use/fun in the Duke
& Hamilton ATT

No. 3220 J.

Simla, the 19th November 1879.

The Governor General in Council is pleased to direct that nothing in the Foreign Department Notification No. 230 J., dated the 16th October 1872, shall be deemed ever to have applied to the town and cantonment of Bangalore, and with reference to Foreign Department Notification No. 385, dated the 11th December 1866, the Governor General in Council is further pleased to direct that the said town and cantonment of Bangalore shall, for the purposes of Act No. V of 1861 (an Act for the regulation of Police), be deemed to be the local jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District.

"Copy."

Act V of 1861 was applie
to "the District of Bangalo
(including the Town an
Cantonment of that name
by the Foreign Dept Notfn
No 3085 dy the 11th Decr 187

In 1872, "Rules for the
organization and regulation of
Village and Regular Police
were issued in Foreign
Dept Notfn No 280 J, dy
the 16th Octr 1872

Doubts having afterwards
arisen as to how far the latter
affected the former, it was
decided (in Notfn No
122 J dy the 15th Novr 1
that nothing in
Notfn No 280 J dy the
16th Octr 72 should be
deemed ever to have applie
to the Town & Cantt of
Bangalore," and on the han

~~Hon'ble~~ With reference to the Notification No 305 of the 11th Dec 1866 from the Secretary and Commissioner of Bangalore Mr Hall, for the purposes of Act No V of 1861, be declared to be the local jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District.

In other words, ~~the Notification~~ the Notification No V of 1861 was exclusively restricted to the Bangalore Town, and the Police Rules for the ~~Presidency~~ State.

The question what was the state of things between 1872 and 1879 is one that does not require consideration at present.

was limited to the Police District of Bangalore.

MATTER FOR COUNCIL

20

SUBJECT

Additional Police Force for the town of Chennapatna.

Circulated to Councillors

By Order,

29th August 1899.

For Secretary

Name	Date of receipt	Date when passed on
Rajadharma Pravina T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty, Esq., C. I. E.	Seen by CS	
P. N. Krishna Murti, Esq., C. I. E.	R36.40 Rec. 29.8.99	2-9-99
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq. C.S. 4/9/99		Please see additional sheet for Councillors' notes
44		
The Secretary		

I am always afraid both of such enlargement as recommendations. Town or Municipal Councils have no significance. The whole body must be treated as one force, & when it is found insufficient a general recruitment to the augmentation must be admitted. In the sufficient reasons. The necessity arising from plague is temporary; but to make the force permanent even after the plague subsides I do not know that the whole police force is so defective in efficiency or so constituted that an adjustment cannot be made in location whereby the object of sufficient body of men could be created in Chennapatna. See add. sheet

4/
2/10/99

My friend now
is that this above
more upsetting
with respect
asked to find
the management
from the existing
Fore — the
matter may have
be discussed
in council

2.9.99

I do not know
are affected &
his case matter
coming before council
otherwise I should
note down what
I have to say on the
subject.

6/9/99

6-9-99

(21) 8

Journal of Council Proceedings

Mysore 7th October 1899.

Resolved as follows:-

That in lieu of the Police Force now temporarily employed in the town of Chennapatna, a Force Consisting of one First class Duffedar, one Lance Duffedar, five First class Constables, and 10 Second class Constables, and involving an additional Cost of Rs 139 per mensem (including one Rupee per month for Contingencies) be permanently Sanctioned with effect from 1st October 1899.

Sd/ T.R.A. Thumboo-Chetty
Senior Councillor.

To.

The Judicial Branch for draft.

9/10/99

9.10.99.

V
3111
Jun 623 / 841

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 1 - 9 . 1896

Official Memorandum.

SUBJECT No. 295.

Silledars and additional Police for Chennapatna

THE attention of the Insp.r General of Police is drawn to Subject No. 295 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1895 and he is requested to give the subject due consideration.

Summary, "[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7534
1897-91

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated
Despatched 8 March 1897.
Received 10 — — —
General No. 2967 Camp Mysore
No. 2340
Enclosure No.

Reporting that proposals for additional Police for the Bangalore District have been called for and the requirements for Channapatna will be included in them.

10/3/97
Refd.
10/3

Head C.O
11/3

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Camp Mysore

No. 2967 / 340 Dated 7 March 1897.

Encl.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 3111 / Mis 623 of the 1st September 1896 inviting attention to subject No. 295 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1895 re additional Police for Chennapatna, I have the honor to state that proposals for additional Police for the Bangalore District have been called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, and that the requirements for Chennapatna will be included in the above proposals.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M. Madhava Rao

Inspector General
of Police.

No 10258 d 16-3-97
d 117

Read,

Letter no 2967/340 dated 7th March 1897 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, reporting that, with reference to Subject No 295 of the Nasana Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1895, the proposals for additional Police for the Bangalore D. have been called for from the Deputy Commr, Bangalore, and that the requirements of the Chenna

-patna will be included in the above proposals

Order thereon

Recorded.
12/3/97
Secy

12/3/97

To,
The I. G. of Police
in Mysore.

Draft submitted for approval.

"

Done
12/3/97

J 40

Gen. 30.]

CONTROL OF THE
29.02
1897
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1897

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

(414)

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 21/24 } September 1897.
Despatched 27 "

Received

General No. 1252
No. 128

Enclosures No. Three.

Submitting proposals for increase of Police force for Chennapatna.

J 28/9/97
Sub. to the Secy
1/10

Secy -
1-10-97

Let this also be sent with the above

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 1252/128 Dated 21st September 1897.
Camp Mysore
27th

Enc. Three.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

To

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3111 dated 1st September 1896, and 1025-58 Mis:623 J. 1179 dated 16th March 1897, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted* letters from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, Submitting proposals for an additional Police Force at Chennapatna. I had kept these proposals by with a view to Embodying them in the general proposals for the District, but as this would involve delay and the requirement of Chennapatna are pressing, I have Ventured to Submit the Scheme for the Early Sanction of Government.

* No. 213 dated 2nd December 1896
No. 513 dated 24th April 1897.

2. The importance of the town of Chenna-patna with a population of 9,160 Souls according to the Census of 1891 is well-known to Government.

3. The Statement marked A will show at a glance that the present allocation of the Chennapatna Taluk Head Station only suffices for meeting the require-ments of the Station. It is proposed to have a town force Entirely for Chennapatna Consisting of 1 Jamadar 2 Daffadars and 20 Constables. The town will be divided into 9 beats with 2 Constables for Each beat for night and day duty. The remaining two Constables are for duty at the Station. Govern-ment will perceive that the proposed force is moderate, having in View the requirements of the town.

4. A proposition Statement for the increase is Enclosed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

M. Ramachandra Rao

Inspector General of Police

Bangalore

2. 12. 1896.

H/a

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District

To

The Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

6. In the Scheme now sub-
-mitted is included a special Town
Police for Channapatna. That Town
is in importance and population
not second to many another for
which special Police protection
has been accorded. It further calls
for a special provision, because
it is dominated by a very in-
-flammable material, which
is set ablaze by the tiniest spark.
The Bazaar Inspectors are further
split up by an unfortunate
Schism, and as the silk trade
has enriched many of them, perhaps

Mysore

beyond their deserts, they at times become reckless and resort to violence and commit breaches of the peace, with far less liability than if they had not the means of retaining Counsel for their discomfiture.

1 Jemadar, 2 Duffedars and 20 Constables are proposed for this Division, which neither myself nor Mr. Ruttanna Chetty, the Sub Division Officer, would consider excessive.

× × × × ×

(Signed) V. N. Narasimmayya
Deputy Commissioner

(Signed) G. Dunning.
True Extract

Bangalore District Police Office
24. 4. 1897.

No. 513.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

The Inspector General's Docket
No: 3421/301 dated the 15th Instant
requiring the early submission
of the proposals for an addi-
-tional Police Force at Chenna
-patna.

2. With reference to the above,
has the honor to state that the
present strength of the Chenna
-patna Kacha Station is 21,
consisting of 1 Inspector, 1
Jemadar, 1 Duffadar and 18
Constables. 5 are told off for
the corresponding number of
Road and Village beats and
the remainder have to furnish
orderlies, lockup sentries, Station
House writers, Process Servers
&c so that nominally there are
only Sixteen available for Duty

5. The Inspector General is aware of the importance of this flourishing place and of the turbulent element in its population, particularly of the two intelligent factions among the Mahomedan Community, which have from time to time caused considerable anxiety and necessitated extra Police aid being sent. The few men that could be spared from the Head and Sub Stations have been found quite powerless for such emergencies. Besides, even for ordinary purposes, Chemanpatna with a population of about 10,000 requires better Police arrangements than exist at present. In submitting proposals for an increase of the Police force for the district in this office letter No: 213 dated 2.12.96, the undersigned touched

upon the absolute necessity of having a Police Establishment exclusively for Chinnapatna Town. An extract from that letter is herewith forwarded for ready reference.

4. The undersigned would therefore reiterate his proposal to have an exclusive Town Police establishment for Chennapatna as per details noted in the margin and request that the sanction of Government for the same may be obtained at a very early date.

1 Sr. Havaldar
1 Do Duffadar
2 Do Duffadars
7 Do Constables
12 Do Constables.

(Signed) G. Dunning
For Deputy Commissioner

Copies:

M. Mandy
Inspector General
of Police

Khandoji's Statement of the proposals for a

No

Present Strength of the Kasba Police Station				Number of road and village beats attached to the Station (outside the town).	Number of men required for beat duty.	Number of men required for other duties.	Total number required for the Kasba Station
Sub Inspector	Talukdar Jamedar	Duffedar	Constables				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
						Nundar's orderly 1 Inspector's do 1 Lock-up sentries 2 Writers for the Kasba Station and the Taluk Police office. 2 Constables for Okkads (one for each Okkad) 2 Station Havaldars one each for night and day duties 2 10	
				5			15

including 3 men of the Closepet Sub-Taluk hitherto borne on the Channapatna Taluk Establishm

Bangalore District Police Office,
11th September 18

"Cop

Khandy
for Inspector

Police Force at Chemapatna.

Number of beats proposed in the town of Chemapatna	Number of men required for Town beat duty.	Number of men required for other duties in the Town.	Total number of men required for Town duty exclusively	Number of men available for the Kasba Station Police vide cols. 4 + 8.	Additional strength required			Cost per month.	Remarks
					Jamedars	Duffedars	Constables		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
9	18 (at 2 for each beat)	2 (Havaldars at the Town Police station - one each for day and night.	20	Nil ⊗	(a) 1	(b) 2	(c) 20	33 — 4 — 4 30 — " — " 64 84 Total 214 6 + 14 1 First Grade Jamedar 25+8 1 First Class " 12 " " 8 " " Duffedars @ 16 — 80 12 Second " " " 10 — 80 " " Constables @ 8-8 — 7	(a) A first grade Jamedar to be incl Town Police Stat look after the di rected thereunt to the General sion of the Sus and the Guild particularly to t Town Police Force efficient and a cope with any gency. (b) one first c 1 second class D to assist the Ja in his work in ding the investi of cases report the Town. one t to be always a Station both d night. (c) 8 First class 12 Second —

Police beat duty in the Town of Chemapatna is at pre done partly by men called in from Sub-Stations a partly by those withdrawn from other duties. Th arrangement which interferes with other work does not permit of an efficient system of beats.

(Signed) G. Dunning
Superintendent

Proposition Statement shewing the increase o

2
a

Office to which the Proposition refers	Present strength	
	No	Denignation
Cheruapalita Taluk and Taluk.	1	2nd Class Inspector. on Rs. 70 +15
	1	1st " Jemedar " 25 +8
	2	2 " Duffedars " 14
	2	Lance do " 12
	6	1st Class Constables " 8
	25	2 do " 7
	37	

Total h

Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Dated Bangalore 2nd October 1898

quired for the Chennapatna Taluk.

of charge	Proposed strength			Cost	Remarks
No	Designation				
1	2nd Class Inspector on Rs	70	+15	85	The increase in the strength is for the punitive
2	1st " Jemedars "	25	+8	66	
1	1st " Duffedar "	16		16	
3	2d " —do— "	14		42	Vide this Office No 1345/135 dated 2d October 1898
2	Lance —do— "	12		24	
4	1st class Constables "	8		112	
37	2d —do— "	7		259	
50			Total Rs	604	

Cost per Mensem Rs 211 —
" - Annum 2532 —
Increase

Inspector General of Police

Proposition Statement for the additional Police

Order Sanctioning Establishment No.	Date	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge — Present Scale No.	Designation	Pay	Proposed No.	Proposed Designation
		Chennapatna Taluks Police.	1	3rd Class Inspector on Rs 50+15	65	1	3rd Class Inspector
			1	1st Class Jamadar on Rs 25+8	33	2	1st -Do- Jamadar
			1	2nd -Do- Daffadar on Rs 14	14	1	1st -Do- Daffadar
			2	1st -Do- Constables on Rs 8	16	2	2nd Do- Daffadar
			13	2nd -Do- Constables at Rs 7	91	10	1st -Do- Constables
						25	2nd -Do- Constables
			18		219	41	

Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Camp Mysore 21st September 1897

Requests sanction for
1 1st Cl. Jamadar
1 1st Cl Daffadar
1 2: Cl Do.
8 1st Cl constables.
12 2: Cl. Do.
23

Force of Chennapatna, Bangalore District.

d Scale.		Increased cost per member	Grounds of proposition.
gnation	Pay		
on Rs 50+15	65	Rupees 211-0-0	(4/a)
s on Rs 25+8	66		X
n on Rs 16	16		X
ars on Rs 14	28		X
les on Rs 8	80		X
bles on Rs 7	175		X
	430		The addional Force is required for the Town of Chennapatam. — Vide Letter No: 1252-1a of the 21st Septr/97

/By/ Madhava Rao

Inspector General of Police

33
16
14
64
84
211 per mensem or
Rs 2532/ p. annm.

189 (85)

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

To The I. G. of Police

Dated Bangalore, 23 Septr 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 4229

P. No. ~~4588~~

Enclosure No.

Two letters Nos 832/85

1359/142, 1043/113, 1252/128,

1254/129, 1512/151 dated

24th August, 11 Octobr

13 September, 21st Septr

and 27th October 1897,

respectively submitting

proposals for the

increase of Police

Force in

certain

Certain Places

I have the honour to inform you that the above proposals were made sometime ago and that since then the Police Force has in several places been strengthened. The I.G.P. is therefore requested to report the licenses applied for are still wanted or whether he has any modifications of the original proposals to suggest. By order

Asst. C.S.

Dec. 21

For Continuation
of the Notes on
this PP Vibe:
PP 3 of the KN
Edition
police 3 of 6

J. 448 (846) 1898

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3056
3 - OCT. 98

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 2nd
Despatched 2d } October 1898
Received

General No. 1345
No. 135

Enclosure No. 2.

Recommending the sanction of the additional force to Chennapatna as a punitive measure.

broclamation of such a measure will have a whole-some effect throughout the province in these times of trouble

Subd Sheet RR
5/10/98

Subd Report

The additl force applied for may be sanctioned as a temporary measure, & a further report called for from the Dy Comr regarding the temper and conduct of the inhabitants of the town of Chennapatna. The Dy Comr's report is deficient in information by not stating the duration of a punitive force at Chennapatna & by not giving any opinion on the need for an additl Police

6/10/98.

1 The correspondence referred to in para 2 of the Govt's letter has not been put up

2 The Dy Comr in his letter No 230 of 28 9 98 asked for the sanction of a separate town police for Chennapatna He did not recommend a Punitive Force under S 33 of the Police Act. The Insp Genl of Police recommends the retention of the addl Force as a Punitive Force under S 15 Act V/61 and S 33 Police Act. I think Act V as now in force only in the town

3/10/98

Subd with refce to order

DMH
5/10/98.

In requesting sanction to an additional force for Chennapatna at ~~an additional~~ cost of Rs 211/- per mensem the Inspector-General strongly recommends that the same may be enforced as a punitive measure for 6 months or a year. He adds that a general

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 1345/135 Dated, Bangalore, 2: October 1898

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 4229/F.458 dated 23.9.98 regarding proposals for additional Police establishment, I have the honor to state that I shall study the whole question carefully and submit a complete scheme.

As additional establishment has been sanctioned from time to time on account of the Plague operations, no inconvenience is likely to be felt by the delay that must-

necessarily occur in the submission of my scheme except in some stations like Chenna-patna, French Rocks, &c. where just now there is great excitement.

2. For Chennapatna an additional force of 1 Jemadar, 2 Duffedars and 20 constables was recommended in this office letter No. 1252/128 dated 21st/27th September 1897. Even then the Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer strongly urged the necessity on the score of its large population of about 10,000 and the turbulence and lawlessness of the Dayara Community. vide enclosures to the said letter.

3. Now matters have become still worse the factions in the town have increased and the Plague scare has created a great excitement in the people so much so that a large number of the Hindu population have, I hear,

COLLECTORATE OF MADURAI

joined the unscrupulous portion of the Maho-
medan community to cause disorder and
oppose Government in carrying out Plague
measures. The Plague shed put up near the
Railway Compound was burnt down and a
new shed which was erected in its place
would have shared the same fate on the
night of the 22nd ult.mo but for a Police Constable
having been on guard over it with a
number of Revenue peons.

I beg to invite reference to the Inspector's
diary of the 23rd Sept.r and Amildar's
remarks thereon in this connection . . .

4. In recommending the sanction of the
additional force noted in the margin, I would
strongly urge that it may be sanctioned as
a punitive measure under section 15 of Act V
of 1861 and Mysore Police Rules No 33. The
facts alluded to in the previous para and
the papers referred to therein are, I think,
sufficient

* 1 first class Jamadar on Rs 25+8 = 33
1 first class Duffadar on Rs 16 = 16
1 Head " 14 = 14.
8 1st class Constables @ Rs 8/- = 64
12 Head " do 7 = 84
Rs 211

x ~~1st~~ 4th forwarded with Letter No 230 dated 28.9.
from Dr. Bangalore.
23

sufficient to show that the town of Chenna-
-patna is in a disturbed and dangerous
state and that the conduct of its inhabi
-tants has been such as to necessitate the
increase of the Police force to maintain orde
in it. This punitive force may be sanctione
for 6 months or a year and a proclamation
to that effect will, I believe, have a wholesom
effect throughout the whole Provinces and tend t
prevent the quiet and law-abiding people
from listening to the evil counsels of the
unscrupulous and mischievous persons bent
upon opposing the Policy of Government.

5. I beg to enclose a Proposition statemen
and ~~three~~ papers as per margin for reference
and return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servan

Inspector General of Police

× Letter No. 230 dy 28.9.98 from D.C. Bangalore (in original)

(47)

Read.

Letter No. 1345-135 dt the 2nd Oct. 1898 from the D. Govt of Mysore stating that the town of Chennapatna, which was already in a turbulent and lawless state has become still more so, and that the plague scare has also created great popular excitement as indicated by the burning down of a plague shed at the place, and, therefore, recommending that the marginally noted additional force may be sanctioned for 6 months at a cost, and as a punitive measure under S. 15 of Act V of 1861 and the Mysore Police Rules No 33.

		Rs
1	1st cl Jemadar @	25 x 0 = 33
1	1st cl Daffedar @	16 = 16
1	2nd	14 = 14
8	1st cl. Constables @	8 = 64
12	2nd cl .. @	7 = 84
		Rs 211

No: 5245-47 dt 17-10-98

Order thereon

In view of the situation at Chennapatna being at the present moment even

even more serious than it ordinarily is, the Govt. are pleased to sanction the ~~imposition~~ additional entertainment of the Police force applied for temporarily for a period of six months.

2. To enable the Govt. to decide ~~whether~~ whether the above shall be treated as a punitive measure, of which the cost ~~should~~ is liable to be levied from the inhabitants of the locality, the Dy. Comm.r is requested to submit, after such enquiry as may be necessary, ~~a report~~ additional information (through the S.P. of Police) on the ~~state~~ stated state of public order and peace in the town and the extent to which the inhabitants are supposed to be troublesome or turbulent.

3. It will be pointed out to the D.C. that the Act-V of 1861 is not in force beyond the limits of the Bangalore City, the entertainment of a punitive force under the Police Rules framed under S.33 of the Act.

13/11.

To The D.C. of Police
The Dy. Comm.r Bangalore D.t.
Subj 13/11.

Read:
Noted:
14/10/98

Draft attended to
Bro copy sent
19-10-98

R

Letter No. 1345—135, dated the 2nd October 1898, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, stating that the town of Channapatna, which was already in a turbulent and lawless state, has become still more so and that the plague scare has also created great popular excitement as instanced by the burning down of a plague shed at the place, and therefore recommending that the marginally noted additional Police force may be sanctioned temporarily for six months or a year, and as a punitive measure under Section 15 of Act V of 1861, and the Mysore Police Rules No. 33.

1	1st Class Jumadar on Rs.	25+8=	33
1	1st do Dafadar on Rs.	16=	16
1	2nd do do on Rs.	14=	14
8	1st do Constables at Rs.	8=	64
12	2nd do do at Rs.	7=	84
	Total Rs.		211

No. 5245-47—J. 547, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH OCTOBER 1898.

ORDER THEREON.—In view of the situation at Channapatna being at the present moment even more serious than it ordinarily is, the Government are pleased to sanction the entertainment of the additional Police force applied for temporarily for a period of six months.

2. To enable the Government to decide whether the above should be treated as a punitive measure, of which the cost is liable to be levied from the inhabitants of the locality, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit, after such enquiry as may be necessary, additional information (through the Inspector General of Police) on the exact state of public order and peace in the town and the extent to which the inhabitants are disposed to be troublesome or turbulent.

3. It will be pointed out to the Inspector General that, as Act V of 1861 is not in force beyond the limits of the Bangalore City, the entertainment of a punitive force must be effected under Section 33 of the Police Rules.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore.
The Comptroller in Mysore.

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 17*th October* 1898

No. $\frac{5245\text{-}47}{J.\ 547}$

Sanctioning the entertainment of an additional Police force in the town of Channapatna temporarily for a period of six months, and requesting the Deputy Commissioner to submit additional information on the exact state of public order and peace in the town in order to enable the Government to decide whether the above should be treated as a punitive measure.

M.G.C.P.B.—10-12-98—120

J. 535

OFFICE OF THE
1898 3760
26 OCT. 98
OF MYSORE

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 22 October 1898

Received

General No. 16.22

No. 155

Enclosure No.

Inviting attention to Notification No 385 D/11 December 1866 making Act VI of 1861 applicable to the whole of the Bangalore District respecting to be informed if it was cancelled by a subsequent notification

Prod
23/10/98

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 16-22 / 155 Dated ....2..2..October....1898

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to the last para of Government Proceedings No. 5245-47 / 9. 547 dated 17th instant, I have the honor to invite attention to Notification No. 385 dated 11th December 1866 making Act V of 1861 applicable to the whole of the Bangalore District and to request to be informed if it was cancelled by a subsequent Notification.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Meaudy
for Inspector General of Police.

(4)

(468)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 30 NOV. 98 MYSO

Gen. 30]

4527

663

24.11.98.

6

References put up.
to Secretary
Subd for orders.
30/11/98 ma 1/12. 1/12/98

(819)

E. K. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl & Rev *Dept.*

Say that it may be admitted as an ordinary Police charge — 4
draft KB 2/12 1—12—98

Dated 22
Despatched 23 Nov 98
Received
General No. 61
No. 968
Enclosure No.

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed whether the cost of the addl Police force at Chennapatna should be debited to Plague Administration or otherwise

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rec 28/11

Ind 25.11.98 Ref 28/11.

S.P.S.T.O.

No. 61
Pg

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 22nd November 1898

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Genl Secr Dept.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Rn: No 5245-47/2547 dated 17th October 1898 sanctioning additional Police Force for the town of Shimoga as a temporary measure for a period of six months, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether the cost thereof should be debited in the Accounts as an ordinary charge or to Plague Administration.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

Asstt. Comptroller

1 & (10)

6767

J. No. 5

29.11.28.

To

The Inspector General
of Police in Burma.

His No. 1622/155 of 22nd Oct/28 requesting, with reference to Govt. Proc. No. 5245-47/J 547 of 17th idem, to be informed whether Notn. No: 385 of 11th Dec 1866, making Act V of 1861 applicable to the whole of the Bang: Distt., was cancelled by a subsequent Notn.

With reference to the above I am to ~~inform~~ point out that ~~by~~ under Notn. No. 322 J.J. dated 19th Novr. 79, the town and Cantonment of Bangalore is deemed, for the purposes of Act V of 1861, to be the local jurisdiction of the Magte of the Distt.

By Order

BR
26/11/28

for Secretary.

(899) 1694/3
7/4/41 (b)

Issued on 3/1/28

8/11 Yo,

The Comptroller is
enquire.

~~Dated~~ ~~December/28~~
His No. 61 of 22nd Dec/28
CVO – requesting PG to be informed
~~for~~, whether the cost of the additional
police force at Chemnapatna
shd be debited to Mysore Adm. or otherwise
"

"With reference to
~~your letter No 61~~ dated
P.G.
~~the 22nd ult~~ and ~~cond~~ on
the above, has the honour
~~directed~~ me to ~~state~~ inform
that the cost of the
additional
Police force ~~temporarily~~
~~entertained~~
~~therein~~ referred to,
may be admitted
as an ordinary Police
charge.

By Order
~~Illegible~~

BR.
2/12/28
for Secy.

MR
2/12

13 DEC. 98
OF MYS

J 714

(89)

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

(8112) To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 9th December 1898

Despatched 10th

Received

General No. 2147

No. 200

Enclosure No.

Requesting for a distinct ruling regarding the Applicability of Act V 1861 to the Bangalore City.

Ind
12-12-98

References put up.

15/12/98

Subd for orders.

16/12

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2147/200 Dated 9/10 December 1898.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 6757/9.715 dated 29 November 1898, I have the honor to state that the Government of India Notification no: 322 I.J. dated 19 November 1879, only provides that the said town of Bangalore and the Cantonment of Bangalore shall (for the purposes of Act V of 1861) be deemed to be the local jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District and there is nothing to shew that the jurisdiction of other officers

have been similarly circumscribed, or that provisions of the Act itself have no operation in the remainder of the District. The Notification of 1866 made the Act applicable to the whole District, and the above one restricting the jurisdiction of the District Magistrate was passed only in 1879. In para 19 of Mr. Mangle's letter no: 2637/265 dated 12th March 1872 it is expressly stated that the Act was in force in the Bangalore District in 1872. It would therefore, seem, and I am unable to verify the fact from any records in this office, that the Act was in force throughout the District for about 13 years.

3. From 1866 to 1872 = 6 yrs

2. Under such circumstances, if it was contemplated to alter the prevailing state of things and make the Act applicable only to the City and Can-

Cantonment of Bangalore the Notification would have been worded more explicitly. I would therefore ask for a distinct ruling on the question, after if necessary, obtaining opinion of the Government Advocate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Meaudy
for Inspector General
of Police.

8113

Issued
mbr 4/1/99.

Read:—

Letter No. 2147/200 dated 9th December 1998 ~~requesting~~ ~~to the effect~~ from the I. G. of Police in Mysore requesting, with reference to this office docket No. 6757/J715 of 29-10-98, that a distinct ruling may be made regarding the applicability of Act V of 1861 to the Bangalore City.

8722
J845 d/4/1/99

Order thereon:— As regards the question raised in the above, it is observed that Act V of 1861 was applied to "the District of Bangalore (including the town and cantonment of that name)" by the Foreign Dept Notn No. 385 of 11th Dec 1866, and that in 1872 "Rules for the organization and regulation of the Village and Regular Police" were issued in Foreign Dept Notn No. 230 J of 16th Oct/72. It was, however, directed in Notn No. 322 J. J of 19th Nov/79 that "nothing in Notn No. 230 J of 16th Oct/72 shall be deemed ever to have applied to the town and Cantonment of Bangalore" and on the other hand, with reference to Notn No. 385 of 11th Dec/66, that "the said

town and cantonment of Bangalore shall for the purposes of Act V of 1861 be deemed to be the local jurisdiction of the Magte of the district."

2 The effect of ~~these~~ the above-mentioned ~~various~~ notifications ~~is~~, was, ~~&~~ it will be seen, to ~~extend~~ restrict the applicability of ~~Act V of 1861~~ the Police Act V of 1861 to the town of Bangalore, ~~and to make~~ the Police Rules being the law applicable to the rest of the province.

3. Under these circumstances the Govt consider that the point raised in the letter read above is beyond doubt and that no ruling on the subject is called for, as requested. The I.G. of Police will be informed accordingly.

19-12-98

17/12/98

Secretary

To
The Insp.-Genl of Police
in Mysore

Letter No. 2147—200, dated 9th December 1898, from the Inspector General of Pol in Mysore, requesting, with reference to this office docket No. 6757—J. 715, dated 29 November 1898, that a distinct ruling may be made regarding the applicability of Act of 1861 to the Bangalore City.

No. 7822—J. 845, DATED BANGALORE, 4TH JANUARY 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—As regards the question raised in the above, it is observed that Act V of 1861 was applied to "the District of Bangalore (including the town and cantonment of that name)" by the Foreign Department Notification No. 385, dated 11th December 1866, and that in 1872 "Rules for the organization and regulation of the Village and Regular Police" were issued in Foreign Department Notification No. 230 J., dated 16th October 1872. It was, however, directed in Notification No. 322 I. J., dated 19th November 1879, that "nothing in Notification No. 230 J., dated 16th October 1872, shall be deemed ever to have applied to the town and cantonment of Bangalore" and on the other hand, with reference to Notification No. 385, dated 11th December 1866, that "the said town and cantonment of Bangalore, shall, for the purposes of Act V of 1861, be deemed to be the local jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District."

2. The effect of the last mentioned Notification was, it will be seen, to restrict the applicability of the *Police Act V of* 1861 to the town of Bangalore, the *Police Rules* being the law applicable to the rest of the Province.

3. The Inspector General of Police will be informed accordingly.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 4th January 1899

No $\frac{7822}{J.845}$

Passing orders regarding the applicability of Police Act V of 1861 to the City of Bangalore.

M.G.B.P.B.—14-1-99—250

J814.



From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

(814) To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 7/15 January 1899

Received

General No. 2374

No. 226

Enclosure No.

Requesting that additional
sum of Rs 58/ may be
sanctioned to Bangalore
Mint under the head
oil & petty stationery for
carmas & meet contingent
expenses for the Channapatna
town Police.

J. 10/1/99

References put up.

11.1.99.

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2374/226 Dated 7th January 1899.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 5245-47/G.547 dated 17th October 1898, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, has applied for a sum of Rs 5/- for monthly contingent expenses of the Channapatna Town Police station recently opened to meet the cost of oil for station lamps, and bulls eye lanterns, and articles of stationery. The Deputy Commissioner reports

that there is a savings of Rs 2/- out of the amount allotted to his District in the Budget for the Current year, under the head "oil and petty stationery for Taumas", and requests that an additional sum of Rs 58/- may be sanctioned to his District. I beg that sanction may be accorded to the same, from general savings of Police Budget.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servt,

K Kuandy
for Inspector General
of Police.

RS.

(815)

(B)

Read:-

Letter No. 2374/226 dated 7th January 1899, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore requesting sanction to an additional sum of Rs 58 for the contingent ~~expenses of the Chamarajpet Town Police recently opened and~~ same there being a saving of Rs 2/- out of the amount allotted ~~for the Bangalore District~~ in the Budget for the current year under the ~~Govt Pro: No. 5245-47 dated 17th~~ head "oil & petty ~~J 547 stationery~~ for January".

~~Cost of oil and petty station-~~ ~~-ery &c~~

8436-37

Order thereon J 9442.

Dated 20-1-99

Sanctioned, the charge being met from ~~General~~ savings of the Police Budget for the current year.

17/1/99

BB. 17/1/99

Secy

^ Stating that the Dy Comm^r, Bangalore has applied for a sum of Rs 57- for monthly contingent expenses of the Chamarajpet Town Police ~~St~~ which was recently opened and

To

The I.G. of Police

— a Communication.

Submitted for orders
The I. G's original
recommendation was for
6 months or a year. The
former period was sanctioned
and it expired this month.
AK 18/4.

OFFICE OF THE
8787
14. APR 99
1899
DEWAN OF MYSORE

J1244
816

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

14

Dated
Despatched 12 April 1899
Received
General No. 3693
No. 322

Enclosure No. One.

Requesting sanction to the
Continuance of present
force for the town of
Channapatna at Government
cost for another period of
six months on account of
Plague or till Municipal
Police is sanctioned.

Recd R 14/4/99

11118

20/4/99.

Both the 3rd and 4th
of this are in favor of
having a permanent Town
Police Force at Channapatna.
This is a Council matter.
Pending the decision of the
Council convey sanction
to the continuance of the
present force for another
3 months & submit him
the question of permanency
& retention may be considered.

Submit to Dewan
after which of the orders
for the continuance of the
force for another 3 months

AK
20.4.99

Recd
14.4.99
Rd to
14/4 References put up.

BK
15/4/99

Draft submitted
AK 21/4.
21/4/99

Submitted
22/4/99

Issued
21-4-99

17

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 3693
322

Dated. 12th April 1899

Enc. one

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No: 5245/J.547 dated 17.10.98 sanctioning a Police Force for the town of Channapatna for a period of 6 months at a cost of Rs 211/= per mensem, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No D dated 30.3.99 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, stating that the town has — Suffered Severely from Plague and the people

had Submitted quietly to the Plague Mea-
sures and that on enquiries instituted on the
Spot he was Satisfied that although owing
to the presence of a proportionately large
Mahomedan population a certain amount of
rowdyism existed, there were not Sufficient
grounds for the quartering of a Punitive Police
on the inhabitants at large. He also Recom-
mends that the Establishment may be
Sanctioned permanently as the Town was an
important and populous one.

Under these circumstances, I think it un-
necessary to treat the force as a Punitive force
and that you will be So good as to move the Goverm-
ment to Sanction the continuance of the present
force at Government cost for another period of
Six months, on account of Plague, or till Municipal
Police is Sanctioned for the Town.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
for Inspector General of Police

Bangalore No 693/322 Dy 12.4.99

16/a/4

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

To,

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Dated 30th March 1899

No: D.

Sir,

Adverting to the Proceedings of Govern-
ment No: 5245-47/J547 dated 17th October 1898 -
Sanctioning a Town Police Force for Chennapatna
for a period of Six months at a monthly cost
of Rs 211/= I have the honor to report that the
period covered by the Sanction expires about
the middle of April 1899 and to recommend
that Government may be moved to Sanction
the continuance of the Force as a permanent
measure.

2. The town has suffered from Plague

recently and in carrying out the Plague measures which were of course distasteful from their very nature, the inhabitants have not shown themselves troublesome or turbulent in any way. Public order and peace have been well maintained.

3. From enquiries made on the spot I do not think that the conditions necessary to treat the Police Establishment already entertained as a Punitive force exist at present. A certain amount of rowdyism always existed here, there being a large Mohamedan population in the place, but there are no grounds whatever to justify the quartering of a Punitive Police on the inhabitants at large. The ring-leader of the Dahiras died of Plague and so a powerful element of disturbance is gone.

4. In the correspondence ending with this office letter No: 220 dated 28th September 1898, full reasons have been given for establishing a Town Police Station at Chennapatna and I need not repeat them here.

I have &c:

/sd/ B. K. Puttanna Chetty
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

"Copy"

[illegible]
Inspector General of Police

Ysh

(417)

Read: —

letter No. 3693 &
322

12th April/99 from
the Insp. Gen. of
Police reporting
reference to Gov
Insp. No. 5245 &
dt. 7.54
Oct/98 that the
Police force tem
-orily sanction
for the town of C
-nagalwa for
period of 6 mon
need not be ex
stated, be treat
as a Punish
force and appl
for sanction to
continuan

Govt. wish for another
period of six months
in accordance of the
the Kuwait Police
sanctioned for
the town.

Order - 12205-F dated
9/1519 26/4/99
Pending further orders
Govt. are pleased
sanction the
retainance of
present Police
at Chemma-
at Govt. cost
further period
months from 22/4/99
Allowance of
sanction of Secy.

(418) 1455

OFFICE OF THE
115-40-
15 JUN
R69 MYSORE

Submitted for orders.

24/6-

Subd & Secy. with the file obtained from D.H.O. Period of the last sanction granted is 30/6/99. Posted & expires today 30/6.

Further retention for three months may be sanctioned & the D.H.O. asked whether it would not be possible to dispense with the services of this addl force at the end of that period as Govt are anxious to economize expenditure in every possible direction & do not wish to incur any addl expenditure which is not quite unavoidable. Subd & submitted. C

1-7-99

Rec 2/7/99

2/7/99

Orders may issue as above as sanction expires on 30 June/99

2/7/99

Draft as above & place before C

3-7-99

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

(16)

Dated

Despatched 13 June 1899.

Received

General No. 4563

No. 385

Enclosure No. one.

Requesting sanction for the continuance of the Channapatna Town Police for another period of 3 months

June 15.6.99 Subd.

The papers on the subject were subd to Dewan on 26-4-99.

16/6/99.

Please let them back from the D.H.O.

File coming with pro No 12205.6 dated 26.4.99 & the papers connected with 1579 dated 25.4.99 & 125 dated 21.9.97 submitted.

26.6.99

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4563/385 Dated 13th June 1899

Enc. one

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of letter no: 739 dated 10-6-1899 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, requesting that as already recommended by him the Town Police for Chennapatna (sanctioned for 6 months temporarily in Government Proceedings *No: 5-245-47/J.5-47 dated 17th October 1898 and again for 3 months in Government Proceedings no 12205-6/J.15-19 dated 26th April 1899) may be made a permanent force, I have the honor to invite reference

* Govt. No. 5. in File No. 11 J 98/99

reference to the last para of this office no. 3693/322 dated 12th April 1899 in which I had requested that the Force may be kept on at Government Cost for another period of 6 months on account of Plague or till Municipal Police is sanctioned for the Town. I would accordingly request that the present force may be kept on for another three & months or pending orders on the proposals for giving a Town Police for Chennapatna included in the General Revision Scheme.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L Beaudy

for Inspector General of Police.

Selorwell No 45 63 / 385

No: 739.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Police Department,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 10th June 1899.

18/61

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No: 12206-6 / J.1519 dated 26th April 1899 Communicated to me with your Office No: 3973 / 472 dated 28th April 18[illegible] extending the period of sanction for the Town Police Force at Channapatna for a further period of 3 months, I have the honor to bring to your notice that the second sanction expires by the end of the current month and to request that the orders of Government may be obtained to the permanent employment of the force as already recommended in my letter No [illegible] Dated

the

the 30th March 1899.

I have &c.

(signed) K. P. Puttanna Chetti
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"Copy"

K Lear Dep
for Inspector General of Police.

Draft subs for
(8/19) IN.

Read,

Letter no 4563/385 dated the 13th June 1899, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, requesting sanction to the continuance of the temporary Police sanctioned ~~reduced~~ ~~created~~ in Govt. Pro: no 5245-47/J 547 dated the 17th October 1898, for a further period of three months or pending orders on the proposals for giving a town Police for Chennapatna included in the general Revision Scheme.

Order thereon. 388-89/J 21 5-7-99

The further retention of the Police at Chennapat for three months is sanctioned as recommended, and the Inspector General is requested to state whether

it would not be possible
to dispense with the
of this additional force
at the end of that period
as Government are
anxious to economize
expenditure in every
possible direction and
do not wish to incur any
additional expenditure
which is not quite un-
avoidable.

3/7/32

for Secy:

To,

The I. Genl of Police
in Mysore.

" The Comptroller
in Mysore.

mm

OFFICE OF THE
1511
Dt 16-8-99
DIWAN OF MYSORE
1899

g 185

18

(8120)

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated
Despatched 16 August 1899.
Received 16/8
General No. 6853
No.
Enclosure No. 2 64

Submitting for sanction a fresh proposition statement for additional P. Jmes for Chamarajanagar.

Jmt
16.8.99 Respectfully
17-8-99.

Subs. for orders.
18/8 Subs.

Sanctioned
T 7026-8-99

1248
Sanction in favour of present proposal
Submit for sanction
Circulate for Council
28-8-99
Office to modify
28-8-99
Signed to Council Clerk
at once. 28/8.
Revenue order

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 683/64 Dated Bangalore, 4 August 1899.

Enc. 2

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Pro-(SL 17)-ceedings no. 388-89/J.21 dated 5th July regarding the Police for Channapatna Town, I have the honor to submit for the information of Government Copy of letter no. 63 dated 31st July 1899 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. I concur with the Deputy Commissioner in holding that it is not possible to do without additional Police force for Channapatna. The strength of

of the Police Establishment sanctioned as a temporary measure by Government in their Proceedings no. $\frac{(S.L.\ No.\ 5)\ 5\text{-}245\text{-}47}{J\ 5\text{-}47}$ dated 17th October 1897 was recommended by successive Deputy Commissioners as absolutely necessary for a town like Channapatna with a large and unruly Mahomedan population and my predecessor fully concurred in this view and strongly recommended to Government in his letter no. $\frac{1252}{188}$ dated $\frac{21st}{27th}$ September 1897 for immediate sanction without waiting for the proposals for a general increase of Police force for the whole Province. I would therefore strongly urge upon the notice of Government that the immediate sanction of a Police force for the town of Channapatna is necessary.

2 As regards the strength of the force, however, I am inclined to recommend some reduction. The Taluq proper of Channapatna is comparatively small in area and contains only 3 Sub-Stations besides the Kasba Station at Channapatna itself. The ordinary inspection and other work of the Inspector and the Jamedar is therefore likely to be small and they can well afford a good deal of their attention to the Super-vision of the Town Police. A Jamedar and 2 Duffedars are therefore not called for. Nor is there any necessity for dividing the town into 9 beats as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner in his docket no: 213 dated 11th September 1897. Even for the Town of

of Seringapatam and Sanjam which are situated so far apart from each other and very much larger in area and more populous than Channapatna, the Municipal Police consists of only 2 Duffedars and 18 Constables. I think therefore that 1 first class Duffedar, 1 Lance Duffedar, 5 first class Constables and 10 second class Constables, should be found sufficient for the needs of the town itself. During the time of the Moharam or other extra-ordinary occasions, the Inspector will of course be able to afford necessary assistance from the Taluq Police at his disposal.

3 A fresh Proposition Statement is accordingly enclosed for sanction by Government of this force as a permanent measure.

measure. As the Town Station proposed to be opened at Channapatna is intended to be a first class station a sum of Rs 6/- to meet the cost of oil and petty stationery, per mensem, is also included in the Proposition Statement. I am examining into the District proposals for additional Police very minutely and have called upon some of the District Officers to revise the existing beats with a view to economize the Police force on their Establishment and submit more moderate proposals for additional Police. It will therefore take some time before I am able to submit a consolidated proposal for the whole Province.

The question of the

. Municipal

Municipal Police for Channapatna cannot however be delayed on this account and as the reduced force now recommended, was already included in the general proposals which I intended to submit to government it may be sanctioned at once as a permanent measure. If the government would however prefer to consider the question as a whole, I beg to suggest that the force may be sanctioned temporarily for a year pending the submission of final proposals.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Mandy

for Inspector General of Police.

RH

Received no 68 in 64
dy 14-8-99

No: 63.

Commissioner's Office,
Police Department,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 31st July 1899.

20

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to your memo no: 123/17 dated 8th July 1899 with which a copy of the Govern-ment Proceedings no: 388-89/J 21 dated 5th instant was received, I have the honor to state that it is not possible to dispense with the services of the additional Police force sanctioned for the Town of Chenapatna.

2. Successive Deputy Commissioners have from time to time urged the necessity of giving an

an adequate Police staff for Chenapatna and the grounds on which their recommendations were based have been fully set forth in the Correspondence ending with this Office letter no: 230 dated 28th September 1898.

3. Chenapatna Town with its growing silk industry has risen in importance since it was connected by Railway. The Town has been considerably improved and there are signs of activity in all directions. The population according to census of 1891 is 9160, nearly one-half being Mohamedans. The Kasba Head Station with its existing staff can hardly meet the requirements of Taluk Police work. The Town will be left

left absolutely unprotected if the Town Police were taken away.

4. In these circumstances I request that you will be so good as to move the Government for sanction to continue permanently the force already sanctioned for Chenapatna Town.

I have &c.

(signed) M. Kantaraj Urs.
for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Dated Bangalore, August 1899.

"Copy"

K. Chandy
for Inspector General of Police.

RN

Enclosure to No 683/6 to 11.8

Proposition Statement shewing the increase of Force required for the Channapatna Taluq

Office to which Proposition refers. Nature of charge.

	Present Strength. No.	Designation.	Cost. Rs.	Proposed Strength. No.	Designation	Cost. Rs.	Cost of clothing and equipment (for increased force) and per annum	Total.	Remarks.
Channapatna Town and Taluq.	1	2nd class Inspector on Rs 70 & 15	85.	1	2nd class Inspector on 70 & 15	85.	Rs 1668 per annum.		
	1	1st grade Jamedar on Rs 25 & 8	33.	1	1st grade Jamedar 25 & 8	33.	Rs. 312-5-9.		
	2	2nd " Duffedars -- 14	28.	1	— do — Duffedar 16	16.			
	2	Lance " - 12	24.	2	2nd Class — do — 14	28.			
	6	1st class Constable 8	48.	3	Lance — do — 12	36.	Rs 1980-5-9.		The Cost for Bil and Stationery for the Taluq Station will be hereafter fixed as the matter is under Correspondence.
	25	2nd — do — do — 7	175.	11	1st class Constable 8	88.			
				35	2nd — do — do — 7	245.			
		Contingencies for the Town	5.		Contingencies @ Rs 6 per mensem	6.			
	37		398.	54		537.			

Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 14 August 1899.

K. Chandy
for Inspector General of Police.

RW.

1899

22

(421) Read again

Govt. Reo: No. 388-89
J 21

dated the 5th July 1899, sanctg the Continuance of the temp. Police for the Town of Chenna patna for a period of three months.

Read

Letter No. 683 dated the 64
10th August 1899 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting proposals re permanent additional Police Force for the town of Chennapatna.

Order thereon Cant. No. 10-11 d/12-10-99

The Govt are pleased

to direct that in lieu of the Police Force now temporarily employed in the town of Chennapatna, a Force Consisting of 1 First class Duffedar, 1 Lance Duffedar, ~~five~~ 5 first class Constables and 10 Second class Constable and involving an additional cost of Rs 139 per mensem including one Rupee ~~per~~ he month for Contingencies), be permanently sanctd, with effect from 1st Octr 1899.

Cl Revd

10/10/99

Jr Secretary

To. The D.C of Police
Comptr & Govt

Secure the Revd.
Memorandum

Press copy made
11.10.99

READ AGAIN—

Government Proceedings No. 588-89—J. 21, dated the 7th July 1899, sanctioning the continuance of the temporary Police to the town of Channapatna for a period of three months.

READ

Letter No. 683—64, dated the 14th August 1899, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting proposals for a permanent additional Police Force for the town of Channapatna.

No. 10-11, DATED, CAMP MYSORE, 12TH OCTOBER 1899

ORDER THEREON.—The Government are pleased to direct that, in lieu of the Police Force now temporarily employed in the town of Channapatna, a force consisting of one 1st class dafadar, one lance dafadar, five 1st class constables, and ten 2nd class constables, and involving an additional cost of Rs. 139 per mensem (including one rupee per month for contingencies), be permanently sanctioned, with effect from 1st [illegible] 1899.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector General of Police in My
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

1899-1900

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 12th October 1899

CAMP No. 10-11

Sanctioning the entertainment of an additional Police Force at a cost of Rs. 139 per mensem for the Channapatna town.

SBP—24-10-99—200